
Apantesis arge Arge Moth



FAMILY: Erebidae SUBFAMILY: Arctiinae TRIBE: Arctiini

TAXONOMIC_COMMENTS: The genus <i>Apantesis</i> is represented by 43 species in North America, including 13 species in North Carolina. Included along with <i>A. doris</i> in subgenus Mimarctia, characterized by their completely pale costal cells as well as other features (Schmidt, 2009).

FIELD GUIDE DESCRIPTIONS: Covell (1984); Beadle and Leckie (2012) ONLINE PHOTOS:

TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION, ADULTS: Forbes (1960); Schmidt (2009)

TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION, IMMATURE STAGES: Forbes (1960); Wagner (2005)

ID COMMENTS: A pale, pinkish-cream colored Tiger Moth, with the black markings on the forewings reduced to narrow streaks and wedges. The cream-colored costal cell distinguishes arge from all other <i>Apantesis</i> except for <i>A. doris</i> ci>A pantesis arge</i> and <i>A. doris</i> are very similar but Schmidt (2009) gives the following features of the forewing as diagnostic of <i>A. arge</i> a broader pale band overlying vein C1 and the postmedian bent at an acute angle, meeting the costa obliquely rather than at a right angle or more obtusely as in <i>A. doris</i> Schmidt further noted that the pale line along vein A1+2 is partially or entirely fused with the broad cream band running along the inner margin, whereas in <i>A. doris</i> it runs completely within the long black streak that runs just inward from the marginal pale band (see Schmidt's (=Neoarctia's) comments in iNATURALIST at https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/15377769#activity identification 44104834).

The hindwings are usually pinkish white in <i>A. arge but more completely pink, orange, or salmon in <i>A. doris</i> (Forbes, 1960). However, some of our specimens of <i>A. arge</i> also have salmon colored hindwings. A more reliable difference is that the black spots on the hindwing are usually smaller in <i>A. arge</i> than in <i>A. doris</i> and if larger, then those in the subterminal area are typically split by lines of the pink ground color, particularly along veins C2 and A1+2; in <i>A. doris</i> the spots are both large and undivided (Schmidt, 2009).

DISTRIBUTION: Occurs over most of the state but records are missing from the Outer Banks and other barrier islands and from the High Mountains

FLIGHT COMMENT: Two generations exist over most of the East (Wagner, 2005), which appears to be true for both the Piedmont and Coastal Plain in North Carolina. However, there may just be a single flight in the Mountains.

HABITAT: Schmidt (2009) states that "<i>Grammia (Apantesis) arge</i> is often a common species of dry woodlands, meadows, pastures, and grasslands." Wagner (2005) also lists dunes, sand plains, and waste lots. Our records come from a variety of primarily open areas, including Longleaf Pine sandhills, diabase barrens, and river and lake shorelines. However, we do not have any records from the dune grasslands of barrier islands

FOOD: Members of this genus are highly polyphagous, feeding on a wide range of herbaceous plants, with Dicots possibly preferred (Schmidt, 2009). Brimley (1938) mentions that <i>Chenopodium</i> is used in North Carolina. BugGuide records show larvae apparently feeding on <i>Opuntia</i> and <i>Persicaria</i>.

OBSERVATION_METHODS: Comes to blacklights, but usually just as single individuals. The mouthparts are non-functional (Singer, 2000, cited in Schmidt, 2009), so it does not come to bait.

NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM RANKS: G5 [S4]

STATE PROTECTION: Has no legal protection, although permits are required to collect it on state parks and other public lands.

COMMENTS: Occurs in a variety of habitats across the state but appears to be fairly sparsely distributed. Probably secure, but more needs to be learned about its distribution and habitat requirements.